Mail OSCAR

From: Internet Mailbox Right to Information <rti@sunshinecoast.qld.gov.au>

Sent: Friday, 26 October 2018 15:19

To: Mail OSCAR

Subject: RE: Decision notice re OSCAR RTI application - Brisbane Road car park Mooloolaba

Good afternoon Greg

An internal review application must be made in writing. Email is fine and you can send it to this address.

The OIC has published a guide which explains review rights for both internal and external review. You can access it here:

https://www.oic.qld.gov.au/guidelines/for-community-members/information-sheets-access-and-amendment/explaining-your-review-rights-a-guide-for-applicants

You do not need to provide any reasons or supporting information with your review application. However, by submitting arguments or information to support your case this may increase the likelihood of the reviewer deciding to give access to additional information. You may also wish to limit your review to discrete issues or documents, which will assist the reviewer and may enable the review decision to be provided sooner.

Kind regards

Victor Catchpoole | Right to Information Officer

Corporate Governance Branch | Office of Mayor and CEO Sunshine Coast Regional Council

Phone: 07 5420 8819



From: Mail OSCAR [mailto:mail@oscar.org.au]

Sent: Friday, 26 October 2018 2:53 PM

To: Internet Mailbox Right to Information < rti@sunshinecoast.qld.gov.au >

Cc: Internet Mailbox Sunshine Coast Regional Council < subject: RE: Decision notice re OSCAR RTI application - Brisbane Road car park Mooloolaba

Good afternoon Victor

At last night's OSCAR General Meeting it was resolved that we would seek an internal review, in the first instance, of your decision in relation to the release of material requested under our RTI application.

As we have not undertaken this process before I am writing to seek your clarification on how we should go about this.

Our basis for requesting a review would relate to the lack of key material being provided without sufficient justification rather than any problem with the process on your part.

Do we simply ask for a review in general terms or do we need to specify reasons for the review request. If so, how granular do these reasons have to be – ie do we instance particular redacted folios?

You guidance would be appreciated.

Greg Smith
President, OSCAR
(Organisation Sunshine Coast Association of Residents)

T: 0417 577 881

E: <u>president@oscar.org.au</u>
W: https://www.oscar.org.au/



From: Internet Mailbox Right to Information [mailto:rti@sunshinecoast.qld.gov.au]

Sent: Wednesday, 24 October 2018 15:58 **To:** Mail OSCAR < mail@oscar.org.au >

Subject: RE: Decision notice re OSCAR RTI application - Brisbane Road car park Mooloolaba

Hi Greg

I have now updated the version which appears on the disclosure log.

The 60-page Savills report can be found at folio numbers 67 to 126. Certain information within 11 other pages was previously deferred – which you can find at folio numbers 128, 141, 151, 166, 179, 190, 215, 251, 265, 282 and 291. Most of these 11 are merely citing the Savills report.

A portion of the 289 pages released are scanned images and do not have searchable text, but this is how they would have been presented to the councillors.

Kind regards

Victor Catchpoole | Right to Information Officer

Corporate Governance Branch | Office of Mayor and CEO Sunshine Coast Regional Council

Phone: 07 5420 8819

Email: victor.catchpoole@sunshinecoast.qld.gov.au

Mail: Locked Bag 72 Sunshine Coast Mail Centre Qld 4560

Website: www.sunshinecoast.qld.gov.au



From: Mail OSCAR [mailto:mail@oscar.org.au]
Sent: Wednesday, 24 October 2018 3:20 PM

To: Internet Mailbox Right to Information < rti@sunshinecoast.qld.gov.au>

Subject: RE: Decision notice re OSCAR RTI application - Brisbane Road car park Mooloolaba

Thank you Victor

When do you expect the new version of the document to be on the Disclosure Log?

I initially thought the only difference between the two versions of the documents was the Australis material (Folios 262-296)?

Obviously, this is not the case as you had previously advised that a 60-page valuation report dated 22 November 2016 was deferred. Doing a search of the new document only shows 6 instances of reference to the Savills' valuation of 22 November 2016.

Do we need to reconcile the two versions to establish what has now been made available or can you advise the folios that have changed?

Best wishes ... Greg

Greg Smith
President, OSCAR
(Organisation Sunshine Coast Association of Residents)

T: 0417 577 881

E: president@oscar.org.au
W: https://www.oscar.org.au/



From: Internet Mailbox Right to Information [mailto:rti@sunshinecoast.qld.gov.au]

Sent: Wednesday, 24 October 2018 12:43
To: Mail OSCAR <mail@oscar.org.au>

Subject: RE: Decision notice re OSCAR RTI application - Brisbane Road car park Mooloolaba

Hi Greg

Access had been deferred to certain information due to third party review rights. This is why I mentioned their review rights were ending yesterday.

As there has not been a review application by a third party, I can now provide you with a copy of all of the documents to which access is given. Please find **attached** a new copy of the documents which now includes the information which was previously deferred due to the third party review rights. You will note it includes a valuation report from 2016. I will also be updating the disclosure log with this new copy.

Kind regards

Victor Catchpoole | Right to Information Officer

Corporate Governance Branch | Office of Mayor and CEO Sunshine Coast Regional Council

Phone: 07 5420 8819

Email: victor.catchpoole@sunshinecoast.qld.gov.au

Mail: Locked Bag 72 Sunshine Coast Mail Centre Qld 4560

Website: www.sunshinecoast.qld.gov.au