

Mail: PO Box 105

Coolum Beach QLD 4573

Mobile: 0433 214 320 Email: info@oscar.org.au

Candidate survey	<ul><li>Sunshine</li></ul>	<b>Coast Regional</b>	Council	(SCRC)	Election	2024

Surname:		Given name:						
Position contesting:								
1 About you:								
Do you live in the Divi	ision for which you are standing?			YES/NO				
Are you a member of	a registered political party?			YES/NO				
If so, are you endorse	d or formally supported by that po	litical party?		YES/NO				
affiliations that mi	2 How will you deal with situations where you or your immediate family have assets, interests or affiliations that might be likely to present you with potential conflicts of interest in carrying out your tasks as a Councillor?							
Comment:								
3 If elected, how do	you intend to engage with your	community and/or	the region?					
Comment:								
4 If elected as a Divi	sional Councillor, how will you a	dvance the specific	needs of you	ur commur	nity?			
Comment:								
5 Why do you think	that you are the best candidate	for your Division o	for Mayor?					
Comment:								
6 Do you have any additional comments you would like to make concerning this section of the survey?								
Comment:								
Governance, Transp	parency and Ethical Behavio	ur						
7 Are you aware of:								
the Principles listed a	t the heginning of the Local Govern	ment Act 2009 and w	vill vou	YES/NO				

commit to abiding by these principles?

	the relevant legislation and regulations that govern the conduct of Councillors and the activities of Council?	YES/NO				
8	8 How do you see the above influencing your decision-making?					
	Comment:					
9	If elected will you:	,				
	support providing requested information (other than current commercial-in-confidence) to the community without the need for individuals and organisations having to resort to Right to Information applications?	YES/NO				
	support that community consultation will become routine and results respected and acted upon such that the public interest takes precedence over vested interests?	YES/NO				
	advocate to ensure consistent, high-quality, professional outcomes by requiring the planning and execution of all Council-initiated community engagement processes to be oversighted by the community engagement team of the Council?	YES/NO				
	commit to forensic scrutiny of projects and expenditure directions and the budget overall?	YES/NO				
	support the Environment Levy and its policies, including the continued acquisition of land for conservation, partnership funding for Land for Wildlife and other environment programs?	YES/NO				
1	What do you mean by, and how would you measure sustainable development?					
	Comment:					
1	Do you have any additional comments you would like to make concerning Govern Transparency and Ethical Behaviour?	ance,				
	Comment:					
CI	imate Emergency					
1:	2 What do you see as the key impacts of Climate Change on the Sunshine Coast and SCRC deal with this now and into the future?	how should the				
	Comment:					
1	B Do you endorse the Climate Change Emergency Declaration made by the current C you advocate for a review of the scope, effectiveness, transparency and accountal current corporate performance mechanisms to give effect to the Declaration?					
	Comment:					

		1
16 Do you have any additional comments you would like to make concerning Climate	Emergeno	:y?
Comment:		
Planning issues		
17 What is your understanding of when it is appropriate to override the Planning Sch there are conflicts?	eme (PS) v	vhen
Comment:		
18 Do you agree that:		
Development Applications (DA) that conflict with the relevant PS Performance Outcomes and other planning instruments should be refused unless there is an overriding need in the public interest?	YES/NO	
the community should be able to make formal public submissions and have the right of appeal to the Planning and Environment Court for development applications involving major impacts on the community and the environment?	YES/NO	
Councillors rather than Council staff should decide such major development applications?	YES/NO	
development that is vulnerable to the impacts of Climate Change such as flooding, inundation, coastal erosion, landslip and bushfire hazard should be prohibited?	YES/NO	
financial contributions in place of the provisions of onsite parking should not be allowed unless improvements are provided within 200 metres of the development?	YES/NO	
longer public submission timeframes than the statutory minimum times concerning amendments of the PS and for the proposed new PS should be implemented and consultation time for the new PS should be longer than the statutory timeframes (currently a minimum of 40 business days) to allow time for the community to understand and make an informed response?	YES/NO	
further development on the floodplains should be prohibited and not approved?	YES/NO	
a thorough review of the proposed Draft of the new PS, including the assumptions underlying it and the provision of infrastructure on which the proposed Draft PS is relying should be undertaken by the new Council? These include for example, the proposed rate of population growth specified in the SEQ Regional Plan Update to be accommodated on the Sunshine Coast and the impact of that rate of population growth in the region including the increases in dwelling numbers and densities mandated in the Update.	YES/NO	

14 What role do you see for the SCRC and the Planning Scheme to accelerate the Sunshine Coast

Will you ensure that the current CHAS is regularly updated as new Climate Change information and IPCC reports become available, and ensure CHAS updates are incorporated in the Planning Scheme as soon as possible after updates occur?

becoming a low emissions region by 2041?

15 The Coastal Hazard Adaptation Strategy (CHAS):

**Comment:** 

YES/NO

where community expectations about the PS are inconsistent with the planning directions and expectations of the State Government (eg in the SEQ Regional Plan Update or State legislation or policies), you will advocate strongly to ensure that the State accommodates the expressed planning wishes of our regional community to the greatest practicable extent?	YES/NO					
Halls Creek should not be recommended for residential development and should remain part of the Inter-Urban Break as per the long-held view of the SCRC and supported by OSCAR?	YES/NO					
there should be a level playing field for all quarry operations in the Sunshine Coast region by ensuring that the new PS has the same zoning for all quarry operations and the same level of development assessment for their operations?	YES/NO					
19 Concerning the South East Queensland Regional Plan (Shaping SEQ) Update of December 2023, what are your views on the strategic directions for the Northern Subregion, the expansions of the Urban Footprint at Yandina, and the population and dwelling requirements for the Sunshine Coast region?						
Comment:						
20 Given the focus on increased densification via higher buildings and/or "gentle den SEQRP2023 Update will you commit to:	sity" as pe	er the				
the development of careful guidelines for "gentle density" and that this type of development is not just seen as "accepted development"/"as of right"?	YES/NO					
ensuring that SCRC undertakes a pilot project for higher density living that demonstrates the best in planning outcomes as per the ELS policy?	YES/NO					
21 The coastal hazard "erosion prone area" is defined by the Queensland Government. The State Planning Policy Guidance states redevelopment is to "avoid urban footprint expansion into erosion-prone areas while the State Policy prohibits this land "to be used for urban purposes" unless already located in an urban area or urban footprint in a regional plan.  Will you oppose increases in the urban footprint and the density of medium and high-rise mixed-use residential buildings in these designated coastal hazard areas to reduce overall risk – and if so, how?						
Comment:						
22 How can the Planning Scheme support the biosphere?						
Comment:						
23 Do you have any additional comments you would like to make concerning Plannin	g Issues?					
Comment:						

## **Transport Infrastructure and Service**

24 Given the Australian Government has withdrawn financial support for all but one of the 5 major transport projects on the Sunshine Coast and has reduced Federal contributions from 80% to 50% for approved projects, and given the strong nexus between land use planning decisions and the provision of transport infrastructure, do you agree that:

all the current regional transport projects should be reassessed to identify and "lock in" the most effective and affordable project or projects that realistically can be delivered with Federal and State funding?	YES/NO
accurate budgeting with realistic cost increases and contingency funding must be included in this reassessment process?	YES/NO
projects that meet the need to efficiently move large numbers of Olympics 2032 spectators to, from and within the Sunshine Coast and that deliver a significant "legacy" transport benefit to the region must be a major decision-making consideration?	YES/NO
any reassessment should explore some options that do not involve the high capital cost, fixed transport infrastructure projects currently under consideration eg significant increases in the regional public bus network with small and large battery buses on more routes, servicing all major regional destinations, with greater frequency and express services, and with bus right of way clearways at peak periods and priority at traffic lights?	YES/NO
that ratepayers should not be subsidising major infrastructure projects that traditionally have been funded by the State and Australian Governments through an increase in Council debt or increases in the Transport Levy?	YES/NO
given the importance of synchronising and integrating land use planning and development approvals with the planning, funding and delivery of transport infrastructure and services, you will support including in the proposed new Planning Scheme medium, high and gentle density land use changes only where the relevant transport infrastructure and services are guaranteed to be funded and delivered in a synchronised way?	YES/NO
Active transport and Accessible transport should be made priorities in any transport infrastructure projects?	YES/NO

25 Do you have any additional comments you would like to make concerning Transport Infrastructure and Services?

Comment:			
oomment.			

Thank you for your responses. We appreciate your time commitment to complete this survey.