

Recognising and upholding excellence in local government

Mail: PO Box 105

Coolum Beach QLD 4573 Mobile: 0433 214 320

Email: info@oscar.org.au

# Candidate survey - Sunshine Coast Regional Council (SCRC) Election 2024

Surname:	Dunne	Given name:	Bruce
Position contesting:	Division 9		

# 1 About you:

Do you live in the Division for which you are standing?	YES/NO	Yes
Are you a member of a registered political party?	YES/NO	No
If so, are you endorsed or formally supported by that political party?	YES/NO	

2 How will you deal with situations where you or your immediate family have assets, interests or affiliations that might be likely to present you with potential conflicts of interest in carrying out your tasks as a Councillor?

#### Comment:

I'm not in that situation but on that occasion, I would make it publicly known as well as on my personal interests register.

3 If elected, how do you intend to engage with your community and/or the region?

### Comment:

On controversial issues, I intend to hold public forums. I intend to reguarly attend community and sporting group meetings. I intend to make myself very accessable to the residents.

4 If elected as a Divisional Councillor, how will you advance the specific needs of your community?

#### Comment:

By making sure that the communities needs are met.Listening to the residents.

5 Why do you think that you are the best candidate for your Division or for Mayor?

#### Comment:

As a past Councillor, long term local, community member and businessman, I have a proven track record of achieving and dedication to the residents. I have the knowledge, experience and energy to get the job done-Vote one Bruce Dunne.

6	6 Do you have any additiona	I comments you would like	e to make concernin	g this section of the survey
τ	o Do vou liave ally additiona	i comments vou would lik	e to make concernii	e uns secuon or me surve

Comment:			

# Governance, Transparency and Ethical Behaviour

### 7 Are you aware of:

the Principles listed at the beginning of the Local Government Act 2009 and will you commit to abiding by these principles?	YES/NO	Yes
the relevant legislation and regulations that govern the conduct of Councillors and the activities of Council?	YES/NO	Yes

# 8 How do you see the above influencing your decision-making?

#### Comment:

I will always put the residents better interests first. They are my priority

### 9 If elected will you:

support providing requested information (other than current commercial-in-confidence) to the community without the need for individuals and organisations having to resort to Right to Information applications?	YES/NO	Yes
support that community consultation will become routine and results respected and acted upon such that the public interest takes precedence over vested interests?	YES/NO	Yes
advocate to ensure consistent, high-quality, professional outcomes by requiring the planning and execution of all Council-initiated community engagement processes to be oversighted by the community engagement team of the Council?	YES/NO	Yes
commit to forensic scrutiny of projects and expenditure directions and the budget overall?	YES/NO	Yes
support the Environment Levy and its policies, including the continued acquisition of land for conservation, partnership funding for Land for Wildlife and other environment programs?	YES/NO	Yes

# 10 What do you mean by, and how would you measure sustainable development?

#### Comment:

Ha Ha, that's an oxymoron.

11 Do you have any additional comments you would like to make concerning Governance, Transparency and Ethical Behaviour?

#### **Comment:**

Don't get me started- there's not enough room here for what I'd like to say about that where Council should be improved. However a Councillor should always be a held in high regard concerning governance, transparency and ethical behaviour.

# **Climate Emergency**

12 What do you see as the key impacts of Climate Change on the Sunshine Coast and how should the SCRC deal with this now and into the future?

#### Comment:

More extreme weather events are expected. Resulting in higher instances of flooding, fire, wind.

<b>13</b>	Do you endorse the Climate Change Emergency Declaration made by the current Council and will
	you advocate for a review of the scope, effectiveness, transparency and accountability of the
	current corporate performance mechanisms to give effect to the Declaration?

#### **Comment:**

The Council shoulh be addressing the impacts of Climate change from a local level.

14 What role do you see for the SCRC and the Planning Scheme to accelerate the Sunshine Coast becoming a low emissions region by 2041?

#### **Comment:**

**Education. Recycling. Minimising carbon footprint** 

# 15 The Coastal Hazard Adaptation Strategy (CHAS):

Will you ensure that the current CHAS is regularly updated as new Climate Change	YES/NO	Yes
information and IPCC reports become available, and ensure CHAS updates are	ļ	
incorporated in the Planning Scheme as soon as possible after updates occur?		

to no vou have any additional comments you would like to make concerning climate Emel	additional comments you would like to make concerning Climate Eme	ergenc
---	---	--------

_							
	^	m	m	_	n	•	•

# **Planning issues**

17 What is your understanding of when it is appropriate to override the Planning Scheme (PS) when there are conflicts?

### Comment:

When there is overwheming community benefit and support.

# 18 Do you agree that:

Development Applications (DA) that conflict with the relevant PS Performance Outcomes and other planning instruments should be refused unless there is an overriding need in the public interest?	YES/NO	Yes
the community should be able to make formal public submissions and have the right of appeal to the Planning and Environment Court for development applications involving major impacts on the community and the environment?	YES/NO	Yes
Councillors rather than Council staff should decide such major development applications?	YES/NO	Yes
development that is vulnerable to the impacts of Climate Change such as flooding, inundation, coastal erosion, landslip and bushfire hazard should be prohibited?	YES/NO	Yes
financial contributions in place of the provisions of onsite parking should not be allowed unless improvements are provided within 200 metres of the development?	YES/NO	Yes
longer public submission timeframes than the statutory minimum times concerning amendments of the PS and for the proposed new PS should be implemented and consultation time for the new PS should be longer than the statutory timeframes (currently a minimum of 40 business days) to allow time for the community to understand and make an informed response?	YES/NO	Yes

further development on the floodplains should be prohibited and not approved?	YES/NO	Yes
a thorough review of the proposed Draft of the new PS, including the assumptions underlying it and the provision of infrastructure on which the proposed Draft PS is relying should be undertaken by the new Council? These include for example, the proposed rate of population growth specified in the SEQ Regional Plan Update to be accommodated on the Sunshine Coast and the impact of that rate of population growth in the region including the increases in dwelling numbers and densities mandated in the Update.	YES/NO	Yes
where community expectations about the PS are inconsistent with the planning directions and expectations of the State Government (eg in the SEQ Regional Plan Update or State legislation or policies), you will advocate strongly to ensure that the State accommodates the expressed planning wishes of our regional community to the greatest practicable extent?	YES/NO	Yes
Halls Creek should not be recommended for residential development and should remain part of the Inter-Urban Break as per the long-held view of the SCRC and supported by OSCAR?	YES/NO	Yes
there should be a level playing field for all quarry operations in the Sunshine Coast region by ensuring that the new PS has the same zoning for all quarry operations and the same level of development assessment for their operations?	YES/NO	Yes

19 Concerning the South East Queensland Regional Plan (Shaping SEQ) Update of December 2023, what are your views on the strategic directions for the Northern Subregion, the expansions of the Urban Footprint at Yandina, and the population and dwelling requirements for the Sunshine Coast region?

#### Comment:

Balance between environmental impacts, housing needs -V- housing availability, current and predicted population growth.

20 Given the focus on increased densification via higher buildings and/or "gentle density" as per the SEQRP2023 Update will you commit to:

the development of careful guidelines for "gentle density" and that this type of development is not just seen as "accepted development"/"as of right"?	YES/NO	Yes
ensuring that SCRC undertakes a pilot project for higher density living that demonstrate the best in planning outcomes as per the ELS policy?	rates YES/NO	Yes

21 The coastal hazard "erosion prone area" is defined by the Queensland Government. The State Planning Policy Guidance states redevelopment is to "avoid urban footprint expansion into erosion-prone areas while the State Policy prohibits this land "to be used for urban purposes" unless already located in an urban area or urban footprint in a regional plan.

Will you oppose increases in the urban footprint and the density of medium and high-rise mixed-use residential buildings in these designated coastal hazard areas to reduce overall risk – and if so, how?

#### Comment:

Yes. No development allowed on the floodplain. In other areas consideration has to be given to infrastructure provision.

22 How can the Planning Scheme support the biosphere?

•			_			
Co	m	m	Δ	n	T	•

Comment:		
Fransport Infrastructure and Service		
24 Given the Australian Government has withdrawn financial support for all but one transport projects on the Sunshine Coast and has reduced Federal contributions fr for approved projects, and given the strong nexus between land use planning deci provision of transport infrastructure, do you agree that:	om 80% to	50%
all the current regional transport projects should be reassessed to identify and "lock in" the most effective and affordable project or projects that realistically can be delivered with Federal and State funding?	YES/NO	Yes
accurate budgeting with realistic cost increases and contingency funding must be included in this reassessment process?	YES/NO	Yes
projects that meet the need to efficiently move large numbers of Olympics 2032 spectators to, from and within the Sunshine Coast and that deliver a significant "legacy" transport benefit to the region must be a major decision-making consideration?	YES/NO	Yes
any reassessment should explore some options that do not involve the high capital cost, fixed transport infrastructure projects currently under consideration eg significant increases in the regional public bus network with small and large battery buses on more routes, servicing all major regional destinations, with greater frequency and express services, and with bus right of way clearways at peak periods and priority at traffic lights?	YES/NO	Yes
that ratepayers should not be subsidising major infrastructure projects that traditionally have been funded by the State and Australian Governments through an increase in Council debt or increases in the Transport Levy?	YES/NO	Yes
given the importance of synchronising and integrating land use planning and development approvals with the planning, funding and delivery of transport infrastructure and services, you will support including in the proposed new Planning Scheme medium, high and gentle density land use changes only where the relevant transport infrastructure and services are guaranteed to be funded and delivered in a synchronised way?	YES/NO	Yes
Active transport and Accessible transport should be made priorities in any transport infrastructure projects?	YES/NO	Yes
25 Do you have any additional comments you would like to make concerning Transpo	ort Infrastr	ucture
Comment:		

Thank you for your responses. We appreciate your time commitment to complete this survey.