



Recognising and upholding excellence in local government

Mail: PO Box 105
Coolum Beach QLD 4573
Mobile: 0433 214 320
Email: info@oscar.org.au

Candidate survey – Sunshine Coast Regional Council Election 2024

Surname:	RYAN	Given name:	TIM
Position contesting:	COUNCILLOR DIV 8 COUNCILLOR		

1 About you:

Do you live in the Division for which you are standing?	YES/NO	TB7106 METER
Are you a member of a registered political party?	YES/NO	
If so, are you endorsed or formally supported by that political party?	YES/NO	

2 How will you deal with situations where you or your immediate family have assets, interests or affiliations that might be likely to present you with potential conflicts of interest in carrying out your tasks as a Councillor?

Comment:	NO
----------	----

3 If elected, how do you intend to engage with your community and/or the region?

Comment:	NO
----------	----

4 If elected as a Divisional Councillor, how will you advance the specific needs of your community?

Comment:	I WILL RETIRE FROM MY TAXI DRIVING JOB AND WORK AS A COUNCILLOR FULL TIME, AS I LIVE VERY CLOSE TO THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS
----------	---

5 Why do you think that you are the best candidate for your Division or for Mayor?

Comment:	ASHLEY ROBINSON
----------	-----------------

6 Do you have any additional comments you would like to make concerning this section of the survey?

Comment:	I HAD A LOT OF TROUBLE DOING THIS QUESTIONNAIRE ON A IPAD. THE PAPER VERSION IS WHAT I'M USED TO.
----------	---

Governance, Transparency and Ethical Behaviour

7 Are you aware of:

the Principles listed at the beginning of the Local Government Act 2009 and will you commit to abiding by these principles?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	YES
the relevant legislation and regulations that govern the conduct of Councillors and the activities of Council?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	YES

8 How do you see the above influencing your decision-making?

Comment: I HAVE RUN FOR COUNCIL TWICE WHEN SOMEONE RETIRED AND THIS IS MY THIRD PUBLIC ELECTION

9 If elected will you:

support providing requested information (other than current commercial-in-confidence) to the community without the need for individuals and organisations having to resort to Right to Information applications?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	
support that community consultation will become routine and results respected and acted upon such that the public interest takes precedence over vested interests?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	
advocate to ensure consistent, high-quality, professional outcomes the planning and execution of all Council-initiated community engagement processes are oversighted by the community engagement team of the Council?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	
commit to forensic scrutiny of projects and expenditure directions and the budget overall?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	
support the Environment Levy and its policies, including the continued acquisition of land for conservation, partnership funding for Land for Wildlife and other environment programs?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	

10 What do you mean by, and how would you measure sustainable development?

Comment: KEEP MOST TOWN PLANNING ZONEING TO WHAT THEY ARE NOW

11 Do you have any additional comments you would like to make concerning Governance, Transparency and Ethical Behaviour?

Comment: ALL OPPOSING CANDIDATE HAVE BEEN VERY FRIENDLY AND COMPMENTRY

Climate Emergency

12 What do you see as the key impacts of Climate Change on the Sunshine Coast and how should the Sunshine Coast Regional Council deal with this now and into the future?

Comment: KEEP MAINTAINING YELLOW RECYCLING BINS WHICH I STARTED AND INCOURAGING GREEN WASTE BINS

13 Do you endorse the Climate Change Emergency Declaration made by the previous Council and will you advocate for a review of the scope, effectiveness, transparency and accountability of the current corporate performance mechanisms to give effect to the Declaration?

Comment: WHILE WORKING FOR TO COUNCIL I SUGGESTED TO BUILD OVERHEAD WATER PIPES SO CIVIL CONTRACTOR COULD ATAIN UNLIMITED EFFUCENT FOR ROAD WATER TANKS

14 What role do you see for the SCRC and the Planning Scheme to accelerate the LGA becoming a low emissions region by 2041?

Comment: THIS COUNCIL IS GOING TO TRY. BUT WE HAVE A HUGE INFLUX OF POPULATION WHICH WILL INCREASE EMMISSION BEYOND ARE CONTROL

15 The Coastal Hazard Adaptation Strategy (CHAS):

Will you ensure that the current CHAS is regularly updated as new Climate Change information and IPCC reports become available, and ensure CHAS updates are incorporated in the Planning Scheme?

YES/NO

16 Do you have any additional comments you would like to make concerning Climate Emergency?

Comment: MAKE GREATER USE OF BYPRODUCTS FROM TREATMENT PLANTS

Planning issues

17 What is your understanding of when it is appropriate to override the Planning Scheme (PS) when there are conflicts?

Comment: *ONLY BUILT WHAT IS SUPPOSED TO ON THAT LAND DUE IT'S ORIGINAL ZONING*

18 Do you agree that:

Development Applications (DA) that conflict with the relevant PS Performance Outcomes and other planning instruments should be refused unless there is an overriding need in the public interest?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	<i>YES</i>
the community should be able to make formal public submissions and have the right of appeal to the Planning and Environment Court for development applications involving major impacts on the community and the environment?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	
Councillors rather than Council staff should decide such major development applications?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	
development that is vulnerable to the impacts of Climate Change such as flooding, inundation, coastal erosion, landslip and bushfire hazard should be prohibited?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	
financial contributions in place of the provisions of onsite parking should not be allowed unless improvements are provided within 200 metres of the development?	<input type="radio"/> YES <input checked="" type="radio"/> NO	
longer public submission timeframes than the statutory minimum times concerning amendments of the PS and for the proposed new PS should be implemented and consultation time for the new PS should be longer than the statutory timeframes (currently a minimum of 40 business days) to allow time for the community to understand and make an informed response?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	
further development on the floodplains should be prohibited and not approved?	<input type="radio"/> YES <input checked="" type="radio"/> NO	
a thorough review of the proposed Draft of the new PS, including the assumptions underlying it and the provision of infrastructure on which the proposed Draft PS is relying is warranted? These include for example, the proposed rate of population growth specified in the SEQ Regional Plan Update to be accommodated on the Sunshine Coast and the impact of that rate of population growth in the region including the increases in dwelling numbers and densities mandated in the Update.	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	
where community expectations about the PS are inconsistent with the planning directions and expectations of the State Government (eg in the SEQ Regional Plan Update or State legislation or policies), you will advocate strongly to ensure that the State accommodates the expressed planning wishes of our regional community to the greatest practicable extent?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	
Halls Creek should not be recommended for residential development and should remain part of the Inter-Urban Break as per the long-held view of the SCRC?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	
a level playing field for all quarry operations in the Sunshine Coast region by ensuring that the new PS has the same zoning for all quarry operations and the same level of development assessment for their operations is supported?	<input type="radio"/> YES <input checked="" type="radio"/> NO	

I DON'T SUPPORT THE COUNCIL SUBLETTING OUT TO PRIVATE COMPANYS

19 Concerning the South East Queensland Regional Plan (Shaping SEQ) Update of December 2023, what are your views on the strategic directions for the Northern Subregion, the expansions of the Urban Footprint at Yandina, and the population and dwelling requirements for the Sunshine Coast region?

Comment: LEAVE IT AS IS

20 Given the focus on increased densification via higher buildings and/or "gentle density" as per the SEQRP2023 Update will you commit to:

the development of careful guidelines for "gentle density" and that this type of development is not just seen as "accepted development"/"as of right"?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	
ensuring that SCRC undertakes a pilot project for higher density living that demonstrates the best in planning outcomes as per the ELS policy?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	

21 The coastal hazard "erosion prone area" is defined by the Queensland Government. The State Planning Policy Guidance states redevelopment is to "avoid urban footprint expansion into erosion-prone areas while the State Policy prohibits this land "to be used for urban purposes" unless already located in an urban area or urban footprint in a regional plan.

Will you oppose increases in the urban footprint and the density of medium and high-rise mixed-use residential buildings in these designated coastal hazard areas to reduce overall risk – and if so, how?

Comment: RIVERS AND CANALS HAVE CONCRETE RETAINING WALLS

22 How can the Planning Scheme support the biosphere?

Comment: RAIN FORESTS AND NATIONAL PARKS TO STAY

23 Do you have any additional comments you would like to make concerning Planning Issues?

Comment: NO

Transport Infrastructure and Service

24 Given the Australian Government has withdrawn financial support for all but one of the 5 major transport projects on the Sunshine Coast and has reduced Federal contributions from 80% to 50% for approved projects, and given the strong nexus between land use planning decisions and the provision of transport infrastructure, do you agree that:

all the current regional transport projects should be reassessed to identify and "lock in" the most effective and affordable project or projects that realistically can be delivered with Federal and State funding?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES/ <input type="radio"/> NO	
accurate budgeting with realistic cost increases and contingency funding must be included in this reassessment process?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES/ <input type="radio"/> NO	
projects that meet the need to efficiently move large numbers of Olympics 2032 spectators to, from and within the Sunshine Coast and that deliver a significant "legacy" transport benefit to the region must be a major decision-making consideration?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES/ <input type="radio"/> NO	
any reassessment should explore some options that do not involve the high capital cost, fixed transport infrastructure projects currently under consideration eg significant increases in the regional public bus network with small and large battery buses on more routes, servicing all major regional destinations, with greater frequency and express services, and with bus right of way clearways at peak periods and priority at traffic lights?	YES/ <input checked="" type="radio"/> NO	
that ratepayers should not be subsidising major infrastructure projects that have been traditionally funded by the State Government through an increase in Council debt or increases in the Transport Levy.	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES/ <input type="radio"/> NO	
given the importance of synchronising and integrating land use planning and development approvals with the planning, funding and delivery of transport infrastructure and services, you will support including in the proposed new Planning Scheme medium, high and gentle density land use changes only where the relevant transport infrastructure and services are guaranteed to be funded and delivered in a synchronised way?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES/ <input type="radio"/> NO	
Active transport and Accessible transport should be made priorities in any transport infrastructure projects?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES/ <input type="radio"/> NO	

25 Do you have any additional comments you would like to make concerning Transport Infrastructure and Services?

Comment: TAXIS ARE THE WAY TO GO AHEAD AND I WILL PERSONAL PUT BIG STEPS TO ADDRESS SUNCOAST CABS